

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

 $\mathcal{C}^{\mathcal{U}}$

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/877,695	06/08/2001	John R. Desjarlais	16380-002001	16380-002001 8902	
26161	7590 06/15/2005		EXAMINER		
FISH & RICHARDSON PC 225 FRANKLIN ST			MORAN, MARJORIE A		
BOSTON, M	- -		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1631	•	
			DATE MAILED: 06/15/200	DATE MAILED: 06/15/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/877,695	DESJARLAIS, JOHN R.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Marjorie A. Moran	1631		

Advisory Action	09/07/,093	DESSAIREAIS, 30111V	17.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Marjorie A. Moran	1631					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addre	ss				
THE REPLY FILED 23 May 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.					
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension f final Office action; or (2) as	ee under 37 set forth in (b)				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be a since a Notice of Appeal has been filed.	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))), to avoid dismissal of t	he appeal.				
AMENDMENTS	•	()					
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);							
(c)∐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		jected claims.					
4. \square The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment (F	'TOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		timely filed amendmen	nt canceling				
the non-allowable claim(s).		•					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☑ Will not be entered, or b) ☐ will vided below or appended.	III be entered and an ex	planation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		•					
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8,12-14,16,18-22,24-29,38-56,60,61,64,65,67 and 68.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>15,17,23,30-37,57-59,62,63 and 66.</u>						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence is r	necessary				
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).							
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attache	d.				
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet. 			e because:				
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other: <u>Interview Summary attached</u>.	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I	No(s). Noyous a family Marjorie A. Moran	Joran -				
		Marjorie A. Moran Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1631	6110103				

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: limitations for evaluating energetic fitness, generating AND synthesizing a library of protein sequences, screening for a desired property, and identifying a protein with enhanced catalytic activity are new issues requiring further consideration and search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the amendment has not been entered, therefore at least the rejections under 35 USC 112 are maintained. Although the arguments overcome the rejections under 35 USC 102, all of the claims are not allowable.